

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

DEC 12 2007

GARY PIERCE IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF GOLD CANYON SEWER COMPANY FOR A

DETERMINATION OF FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BASED THEREON.

DOCKET NO. SW-02519A-06-0015

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES

On January 13, 2006, Gold Canyon Sewer Company ("Gold Canyon" or "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a determination of the current fair value of its utility plant and property and for increases in its rates and charges for wastewater utility service provided to customers in the Company's certificated service area in Pinal County, Arizona.

On June 28, 2007, the Commission docketed Decision No. 69664 granting a rate increase to Gold Canyon.

On July 18, 2007, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") filed an Application for Rehearing on two issues raised by RUCO during the hearing: an allegation of "excess capacity" in the Company's treatment plant and the capital structure employed in the Commission's Order.

During a Staff Meeting held on August 1, 2007, the Commission granted rehearing.

A procedural conference was held on September 5, 2007. During the procedural conference, the parties discussed, among other things, testimony filing dates and potential hearing dates.

By Procedural Order issued September 14, 2007, a hearing was scheduled for November 13, 2007, Gold Canyon was directed to publish notice of the hearing, and testimony filing dates were established.

By Procedural Order issued October 15, 2007, a procedural conference was scheduled for

2 3

1

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

s/dnodes/sewer/060015po11

25 HV

2001 CEC 12 A 9: 1!

DOCKETED BY

1

October 22, 2007 to discuss a discovery dispute between the Company and Staff. The Procedural Order also granted an extension of the testimony filing deadline.

The November 13, 2007, hearing was vacated due to unavailability of the hearing facility. The hearing commenced on November 14, 2007, but did not conclude. At the end of the hearing on November 14, 2007, the parties were directed to discuss scheduling of additional hearing days and submit a proposed schedule.

On November 20, 2007, RUCO, Staff, and the Company filed a Joint Motion to Set Continued Rehearing Dates. The parties requested that additional hearing days be scheduled for January 17 and 18, 2007.

By Procedural Order issued November 29, 2007, the rehearing in this matter was scheduled to resume on January 17 and 18, 2008.

On December 11, 2007, RUCO requested that the rehearing be rescheduled to resume on February 25 and 26, 2008, due to an out of state commitment by RUCO's counsel. During a teleconference conducted on December 11, 2007, counsel for Gold Canyon and Staff represented that they do not oppose RUCO's requested continuance of the hearing date.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the rehearing in this matter currently scheduled for January 17 and 18, 2008, shall be cancelled, and the rehearing shall be rescheduled to resume on February 25 and 26, 2008, at 9:30 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rule 33 (c) and (d) of the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court with respect to practice of law and admission pro hac vice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes the obligation to appear at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the Administrative Law Judge.

- 11			
1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized		
2	Communications) continues to apply to this proceeding.		
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend,		
4	or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at		
5	hearing.		
6	Dated this 12 th day of December, 2007		
7			
8	An A.		
9	DWIGHT D. NODES		
10	ASSIST	ANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
11	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered		
12	this 12% day of December, 2007 to:		
13	Jay L. Shapiro Todd Wiley	Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division	
14	Patrick J. Black FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington	
15	3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
16	Scott Wakefield	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502	
17	RUCO 1110 West Washington Street, Ste. 220	Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481	
18	Phoenix, AZ 85007	De Stander	
19	Andy Kurtz MOUNTAINBROOK VILLAGE AT GOL	Debra Broyles Secretary to Dwight D. Nodes	
20	CANYON RANCH ASSOCIATION 5674 South Marble Drive	Secretary to Dwight D. Nodes	
21	Gold Canyon, AZ 85218		
22	Mark Tucker, P.C. 2650 East Southern Avenue		
23	Mesa, AZ 85219 Attorney for Cal-Am Properties, Inc.		
24	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel		
25	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	N	
26	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007		
27			
	1		